Al-Zaytoonah University Journal of Business, Vol. 01 No. 01, 2025
ISSN Number: Print: 3107- 2178 / Online: 3107- 2186
Copyright © Al-Zaytoonah University of Jordan (ZUJ)
Journal website: www.zjjb.edu.jo

The Role and Implications of Finance Revaluation: A Comprehensive Literature Review

Ayman Abdalmajeed Alsmadi[1], Najed Alrawashdeh[2]

[1]Al Zaytoonah University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan ,Ayman.smadi@zuj.edu.jo
[2] Isra University, Jordan ,
Najed.alrawashdeh@iu.edu.jo

Abstract: The overall objective of this study is to review the existing literature on finance revaluation, main motivations, implications, and regulation concerns. Specifically, it will: Analyze the theoretical underpinnings of finance revaluation, Analyze the impact of revaluation on financial statements and external capital, Assess the ethical concerns and regulation problems involved in revaluation processes and Provide future research avenues. This article discusses how and what impact revaluation of assets has, particularly in accounting and financial reporting. Revaluation of assets is a relevant exercise in which companies revalue the book value of assets to its current market value, demonstrating an accurate depiction of a company's financial position. This exercise not only enhances financial reporting but also influences external financing, investors' confidence, and regulatory compliance. The article concentrates on the reasons for revaluing assets, i.e., signaling profitability, improving financial reporting, and adjusting to economic change. The article also concentrates on ethical problems of asset manipulation and misstatement in the marketplace. By way of a critical examination of the theoretical underpinnings, uses, and issues with asset revaluation, this article proposes the necessity for robust regulatory regimes and ethical practice in an attempt to do away with financial manipulation. The paper concludes by highlighting future research directions with a perspective of ascertaining long-term revaluation effects on firm performance, market stability, and systemic risk in the general economic environment.

Keywords: Asset Revaluation, Financial Transparency, External Financing, Investor Confidence, Regulatory Challenges.

1 Introduction

Revaluation of fixed and other assets is a very important accounting procedure for companies that prefer to match the market value of their asset base with its carrying amount. It is required for responsible financial reporting and to support investors' confidence (Svynous et al., 2019). Fixed assets and finance moves can be on the basis of an entity's strategic decision, regulatory environment, or other macroeconomic incidents. According to Hussain (2022) By revaluation of accounting, a business is able to reflect its economic position and value of assets that can determine its chances of obtaining capital, access to credit, as well as increasing investors' confidence.

The assets of an organization can be revalued and it remains an area of great interest among researchers belonging to the sub-field of financial accounting because it remains an issue which has implications for the firm level governance and financial statement reliability problems. It best applies to organizations operating in industries with high assets valuation like real estate, manufacturing and finance (Bae, 2018). Firms periodically attempt to revalue their assets with the view of mitigating the impact of inflation, improving

their debt-to-equity ratio, and meeting international reporting standards such as International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). While there may be benefits, finance revaluation also has some risks such as earnings management, market and ethical misstatement, and financial manipulation problems (Svynous, 2019).

The revaluation of assets is mainly associated with financial stability and market confidence. For example, in times of severe financial crisis, revaluation can be employed by companies to protect their financial position, and to minimize the negative impacts of market volatility (Yoo, 2018). This has been experienced in most economies, whereby firms carry out asset revaluations in an effort to keep the confidence of the remaining investors and liquidity within the operations of the entities. Besides, firms in developing countries carry out asset revaluation in order to adjust to rapid changes in the economy and currency value volatility such that their financial reports are not misleading (Darmawan, 2020).

Although beneficial, finance revaluation is often the focal point of disagreement among policy, finance, and academic circles. Opponents of asset revaluation claim that management does it in bad faith with the intent to alter performance in order to deceive investors and regulators. The need for more stringent accounting practices, additional disclosures, along with tighter corporate governance controls to ensure that the use of asset revaluation techniques is restricted, has gained ground due to such practices.

This systematic review will assess literature pertaining to finance revaluation by considering its causative factors, theoretical basis, and the implications of financial reporting. It will analyze the different approaches taken by businesses from different sectors in terms of revaluation, what benefits and problems they pose, and how regulators view these approaches. Furthermore, the review will consider the ethical aspects of finance revaluation, especially in regard to the manipulative financing and the protection of shareholders.

The study aims to take a stance in the dispute of finance revaluation by presenting evidence of best practice alongside possible policy consequences. In the preceding sections, the underlying concepts of finance revaluation, its relationship with financial stability, and the ethical issues associated with it will be analyzed. Existing literature on the topic points to a lack of understanding that this review seeks to address by providing an overview of the significance of finance revaluation in the context of contemporary financial reporting.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

- The primary aim of this study is to review finance revaluation literature, highlighting driving motivations, effects, and regulation problems. Specifically, it aims to:
- Examine the finance revaluation's theoretical foundations.
- Consider how revaluation impacts financial statements and outside capital.
- Assess the ethical implications and regulatory concerns with regard to revaluation policy.
- Offer insights into potential future research areas in this area

2 Theoretical Background and Concepts

Grasping the theoretical background and basic concepts of finance revaluation is crucial for understanding its importance in contemporary accounting and financial decision-making. The section discusses asset revaluation, its types, important accounting principles, and fair value versus historical cost accounting debate.

2.1 Asset Revaluation: Definition and Types

Asset revaluation is the process of altering the book value of a company's assets to their true market value (Solikhah, 2019). It is usually carried out when there is a significant difference between the cost of an asset on the books and its fair market value due to inflation, market, or economic circumstances. Asset revaluation enables financial statements to reflect a true picture of the value of a company with a view to enabling investors, creditors, and management to make improved decisions (Khalil, 2018).

There are two broad types of asset revaluation:

Tangible Asset Revaluation: These are physical assets such as land, buildings, machinery, and equipment (Trifan, 2018). Tangible assets depreciate or appreciate over time and need to be revalued from time to time to reflect the correct position in the financial statements (Anton, 2021).

Intangible Asset Revaluation: These are assets that include intellectual property, trademarks, patents, and goodwill (Nichita, 2019). Intangible asset valuation is complex, as it is based on market trends, brand equity, and innovation potential (Уманців, 2023).

2.2 Revaluation Accounting Principles

Companies adhere to some accounting principles during the revaluation of their assets. The two methods are mainly:

Cost-Based Approach (Historical Cost Accounting): It records assets at their original cost, minus depreciation. While this ensures stability, it does not reflect current market changes in real time (Budrionytė & Gaižauskas, 2018).

Fair Value Approach: It values assets at current market value. It provides a more accurate reflection of the value of an asset, enabling transparency and improved decision-making for stakeholders and investors (Guerreiro & Amaral, 2018).

Global accounting standards such as the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) provide guidance on revaluation (Abdullahi & Abubakar, 2020). IFRS, in particular, mandates asset revaluation to be done regularly and for changes in value to be reported in a company's financial statements. These guidelines result in consistency and reliability of financial reporting frameworks (De Villiers & Sharma, 2020).

2.3 Fair Value vs. Historical Cost Accounting

There is an ongoing argument in the finance sector about fair value accounting versus historical cost accounting. Each has its advantages and disadvantages:

Fair Value Accounting: It provides timely valuations of assets, so financial reports would reflect current market values (Lev, 2018). However, it can generate earnings volatility due to fluctuating asset prices.

Historical Cost Accounting: It gives accounting stability since it values an asset at its original acquisition cost (Adams, 2022). While it escapes frequent updates, it may not show the actual market value of an asset, particularly during inflationary periods.

3 Finance Revaluation Motivations

Finance revaluation has different strategic and operational motivations, impacting firm financial statements, investor behavior, and regulatory compliance (Rahman, 2022). Companies engage in revaluation to provide financial stability, increase financial transparency, and improve investor confidence. This section explains the key finance revaluation motivations and its impact on market perception and decision-making (Alharasis, 2022).

3.1 Financial Signaling

Asset revaluation is often used as a signaling tool to communicate financial health to investors, creditors, and regulators (Yoo, 2018). Through the revaluation of fixed and financial assets, firms signal financial strength and future growth opportunities. Under the signaling theory, firms with good financial futures willingly offer favorable information to differentiate themselves from weaker competitors. Revaluation sends the message that the firm is financially healthy and that the assets are appreciated, thus raising investor confidence (Aluya, 2024).

Asset revaluation signalling financials will also influence debt financing. Financial institutions and lenders will be able to provide more credit to companies with accurately valued assets because it guarantees them that the company can repay the debt (Da Costa, 2020). This holds particularly in the event of a financial crisis where firms are struggling to get access to external funds.

3.2 Improving Financial Statements

One of the main purposes of finance revaluation is to enhance the accuracy and reliability of financial reports (Dewi, 2019). Valuing assets at their fair market value ensures that companies give a better picture of their financial position, which stakeholders need to make investment and strategic decisions. Revaluation prevents asset undervaluation, ensuring financial accounts reflect actual economic conditions. Companies in industries with high asset appreciation, for instance, manufacturing or property, are most benefited by revaluation at fixed intervals to prevent outdated financial reporting (Solikhah et al., 2019).

Furthermore, companies are likely to apply revaluation for international financial reporting standards conformity. IFRS standards mandate companies to revalue assets periodically to ensure transparency and comparability of industries (Weygandt, 2018). This enhances market efficiency and reduces the risk of financial misstatement.

3.3 Impact on Investor Confidence

Market stability depends on investor confidence, and asset revaluation contributes to developing transparency and credibility (Chen, 2018). If firms engage in revaluation, they are demonstrating faith in presenting financial reports equitably, hence reducing information asymmetry between investors and shareholders. It has been shown that firms engaging in revaluation are more likely to attract greater investor focus through enhanced confidence in financial reporting (Bae et al., 2019).

Revaluation will also hedge away market uncertainty and speculation. The investors will less likely react against rumors of what is going on in the marketplace or bad economics if they know that values are accurately portrayed on the books (Semieniuk, 2021). Hence,

such firms using unproblematic methods of revaluation experience decreased price volatility on stocks and better loyalty from the investor.

Briefly, finance revaluation performs multiple roles, from signaling financial capability to improving financial statements and reinforcing investor confidence. Such reasons hint at the importance of asset revaluation as a strategic financial tool for companies looking to maintain openness, financial strength, and regulatory adherence.

4 Influence of Finance Revaluation

4.1 Impact on External Financing

Asset revaluation significantly affects the manner in which a company addresses its external financing policy. It is evidenced that companies that engage in asset revaluation witness greater access to debt financing, while equity financing suffers little or no change (Permata, 2023). The underlying factor to this is strongly embedded in the upgrade of a company's credit quality following revaluation.

When a company revalues and revals its assets, the new values typically offer a better and more updated picture of the firm's financial well-being. This creates a stronger balance sheet where asset values are higher, and this strengthens the firm's financial position (Abbas, 2019). The increased asset base makes it possible for companies to borrow more debt financing because creditors prefer to view companies with higher assets as less risky. In essence, asset revaluation provides a healthier collateral base, and thus it becomes easy for companies to raise capital on good terms.

For example, for a firm that has valuable property assets or equipment whose value increases over time, revaluation of such assets will increase the company's net worth considerably (Solikhah, 2019). This makes the firm more attractive to lenders, who view the enhanced asset values as collateral against default on loans. As a result, firms have an improved credit rating after revaluation, and therefore their ability to borrow is improved.

On the other hand, the effect of asset revaluation on equity financing remains unaffected. While improved financial position through balance sheet strengthening is a possible consequence, it doesn't directly influence the equity financing of the firm. Equity shareholders are more concerned with profitability, growth prospects, and quality of management than they are with short-term asset worth (Maru, 2018). Consequently, revaluation of assets does not necessarily impact the demand for equity finance except where it brings about a long-term positive change in the firm's overall performance.

In conclusion, asset revaluation primarily affects a firm's access to debt financing through its increased perception of creditworthiness, whereas equity financing is not much affected. This is meant to highlight the central role of asset revaluation in enabling companies to receive better credit conditions from lending institutions, especially in asset-based enterprises.

4.2 Role in Financial Crises

During periods of economic depression or financial crisis, asset revaluation can prove to be an important mechanism in the stabilization of the financial position of a company. The allowance to revalue assets and report their true value can alleviate the financial strain that companies typically go through during such times (Bischof, 2023). Because assets' value tends to fluctuate, especially during periods of market volatility, failing to revalue them leads to undervaluation, aggravating financial issues.

In a time of financial crisis, firms whose assets are undervalued may be unable to meet their obligations. This is particularly undesirable in the situation of banks and other creditors not lending further credit because of apprehension regarding the underlying worth of a firm's collateral (Mattei, 2023). Through upward revaluing their assets, firms can avoid this trap by presenting a more robust and financially healthy image to creditors. The revaluation allows the business to keep acquiring credit and loans on favorable terms despite the hardships in the economy.

Empirical studies show that in periods of crises, firms that conduct asset revaluations perform more financially sound. For example, firms that own valuable property or tangible assets can benefit through revaluation by making sure such assets are well represented in their financial statements (Horan, 2023). This not only improves their credit profile but also tells investors and creditors that the firm is handling its financial affairs in a positive manner.

Finally, asset revaluation is a significant element in the mitigation of financial crises. It aids businesses in avoiding the negative impacts of undervaluation and enhances their financial flexibility by improving their collateral value and credit standing. Through accurate presentation of asset values, firms can maintain improved access to financing, maintain their financial position, and circumvent the negative impacts of downturns in the economy.

5 Ethical and Regulatory Issues

5.1 Issues of Financial Manipulation

Assets revaluation, although a justifiable way of expressing the assets' fair value, raises serious ethical and regulatory issues with regards to financial manipulation (Šupuković, 2022). There can be the chance that some entities utilize the method of revaluation to manipulate assets' value for presenting a nicer financial situation than the actual, which would prove to be deceiving for the investors, the creditors, as well as regulators and might distort the financial perspective of an enterprise.

The ethical implications involved with such acts are significant. Asset revaluation-driven financial manipulation is often geared towards achieving certain objectives, such as having better access to debt financing, evading regulatory scrutiny, or promoting stock prices. To make their balance sheets appear healthier than they actually are in some cases, companies exaggerate the worth of tangible assets like property or equipment (Ma, 2022). This practice not only deceives investors but also can cause incorrect valuations, and these incorrect valuations lead to inefficient allocation of capital in the economy.

One of the challenges in recognizing such manipulation is the intricacy of asset revaluations, whereby procedures might incorporate expert opinion and subjective judgment. For industries where asset values fluctuate broadly, for instance, real estate or petroleum, companies have lots of leeway in determining asset values (Laura, 2022). Even though such diversity is permissible under certain conditions, the issue of manipulation arises when companies take advantage of such flexibility and overstate assets.

With the ethical problems in asset revaluation, tighter regulation and supervision are in growing demand. Regulators, both financial regulators and accounting regulators, must make sure that companies are compliant with fair value accounting standards and that revaluations are based on objective, verifiable facts and not manipulated or subjective valuations. In the absence of these steps, financial markets are open to deception and unethical practice that harm investors and weaken the integrity of the financial system.

5.2 Policy Implications

According to the ethical aspect of revaluing assets, there has been an imposition of regulatory systems in an attempt to gain more levels of transparency and accountability for disclosure of financial statements. The regimes stress fair value accounting, under which the firms are required to report an honest and real value of the assets as agreed under the prevailing market terms. Enforcement of such measures obliterates the danger of financial fraud and leaves the environment with an open and dependable financial scene.

Audit process strengthening is yet another core policy suggestion. Auditors play a pivotal role in ensuring that financial statements are not incorrectly stated, and they become a kiss-of-death requirement to curb fraudulent revaluation methods. By increasing the intensity and scope of audits, supervisors can provide transparency of revaluation of assets along with compliance with specified bookkeeping standards. Independent and periodic audits are a check on potential manipulation and allow stakeholders to have greater confidence in financial reports being correct.

In addition, enforcing more stringent disclosure standards actually restricts such unethical revaluation methods. The companies need to make disclosures of assumptions and procedures used by them in the revaluation process and external valuations or appraisals on which they have relied in carrying out their operations. This disclosure enables investors, regulators, and other parties to verify the validity of asset revaluations and determine whether they are consistent with the concepts of fair value accounting principles. By demanding full disclosures, regulators can avert companies from using asset revaluation as a vehicle for financial abuse.

In addition, regulators must guarantee that financial reporting guidelines are timely so that changing market conditions and emerging threats receive proper consideration within such guidelines. For example, during periods of increased market volatility, parameters in underlying asset revaluations will need to be reassessed so that value attributed to them as of the date of reporting captures realities at that time appropriately. The regulatory agencies have to be attuned to such apprehensions and balance policy such that they can continue to gauge the financial markets' movements.

The other policy implication is the imposition of sanctions on unethical revaluation companies. By creating draconian sanctions for financial misstatement, regulators can deter firms from making use of asset values to manipulate. These sanctions can be imposed as penalties, sanctions, or even prosecution of individuals who are in charge of fraudulent revaluation cons.

In conclusion, the ethical and regulatory aspects of asset revaluation are critical in maintaining financial market stability. With improved audit practice, higher disclosure levels, and greater transparency, regulators are able to minimize the risk of financial manipulation and ensure that companies employ ethical concepts. As financial markets continue to evolve, the role of regulatory frameworks to track asset revaluation will always remain critical in safeguarding investor interests and maintaining market stability.

6 Future Research

Future Research on Finance Revaluation

Finance revaluation's impact on companies, investors, and the overall economic system is a rich area of future academic research. Although there has been interesting insight from current research into the short-run implications of asset revaluation on companies' financing ability and regulation problems, a significant gap is present in understanding the long-run

outcomes of asset revaluation practices. Future research must focus on several areas, namely the long-term effect on firm performance, investors' confidence, and overall economic stability.

Long-Term Impact on Firm Performance

One of the primary avenues for future research is an examination of how asset revaluation influences firm performance in the long term. Current research has by and large addressed short-run implications, such as increased debt financing and creditworthiness, but the long-run implications for profitability, operating efficiency, and growth opportunities are less understood. Researchers can address whether companies that engage in asset revaluation experience long-term performance improvement or whether the effect is temporary and primarily linked to prevailing market perceptions.

For example, asset revaluation can render a firm more creditworthy in the short term since it can secure finance on good terms. However, its long-term effects depend on whether the firm can make good use of its revalued assets. If the company cannot use its revalued assets to earn revenue efficiently, the benefits of revaluation might evaporate in the long term. Longitudinal studies can track the performance of those firms that have revalued their assets to determine whether the immediate positive effects on liquidity, borrowing capacity, and market value result in improvement in company performance in the long run.

Also, the role of asset revaluation in different industries and sectors must be examined. Some industries, such as real estate or natural resources, may be able to benefit more from asset revaluation due to the volatility of the underlying assets. On the other hand, companies in less asset-intensive industries may be less influenced by asset revaluation over time. This difference in industry-specific effects can offer policymakers and regulators an understanding of the relative importance of revaluation under alternative environments.

Investor Confidence and Market Reactions

Another critical area for future research is the impact of asset revaluation on investor confidence and market reaction in the long run. Investor perception can be heavily influenced by short-run financial performance and market cues, and asset revaluation can provide a short-run boost to share prices or market image. What is unknown is whether this short-run boost translates into long-run investor confidence or whether investors become skeptical in the long run of companies that rely heavily on asset revaluation.

Studies can investigate the role of investor behavior in response to revaluation disclosures, particularly the effect of such disclosures on stock market volatility and companies' long-term valuation. Do investors view revaluation as a sign of financial well-being or as a signal of likely manipulation? Further, there is an opportunity to investigate how investors utilize revaluation information in investment decision-making. Will investors be more inclined to trust revaluation disclosures by companies with a track record of clean and transparent financial reporting, or will they be skeptical, particularly if the company has a track record of revaluing assets in periods of economic uncertainty?

Economic Stability and Systemic Risks

The consequences of asset revaluation on the systemic risks as well as on the economic stability as a whole is another possible field of study. The enhancement of radiating single company’s finances through revaluation in some cases may bolster their balance sheets, but it is also crucial to assess the possible systemic peril it might pose in certain economic

situations. Particularly, when a plethora of firms tend to revaluate during an economic upturn or inflation in market prices, it results into asset overvaluation which creates bubbles that in the end gets burst when the economy enters down trends.

Further research can deal with questions of broader economy concerning possibility of large scale asset revaluations. For instance, do systemic risks become more severe in those markets that have a higher frequency of firm's revaluation activity, particularly those in real estate or commodities which are prone to large fluctuations? Such researchers need to design simulation frameworks that account for the risks brought about by large scale asset revaluation on the market cycles and analyze the implications on the stability of financial markets or the magnitude of financial crisis.

Equally, one may analyze the correlation between monetary policy and the asset revaluation process. If the asset price revaluations are too optimistic, central banks will have to be more cautious in their response policies to avoid risks associated with financial overextensions, which translates to a contraction in credit availability or an uptick in interest rates. These adjustments, however, may also have adverse impacts on credit availability and economic growth. Understanding this relationship enables effective policy design aimed at crafting better control mechanisms to regulate the dangers associated with revaluation methods.

7 Conclusion

In summary, revaluation of the assets of a firm is a crucial method for improving its financial disclosure, credit rating, and value estimates. These are important advantages, however, asset revaluation's impact is multi-faceted. As further evaluation of revaluation is conducted, many questions emerge, especially regarding the implications on firm value, investor trust, and overall economic soundness in the long-run.

To put it simply, more work is necessary. Research looking at the asset revaluation effect on corporate performance over a lengthy period will determine whether businesses gain lasting value from their revaluation policies or those advantages are short-lived. Additionally, the change in attitude of the investors, the impact on the stock market, and the general movement in the market after the revaluation is an important subject that requires more attention. To appropriately assess the level of stability in the financial markets, and in turn make sound decisions as a market participant, it is critical to understand the dynamics of revaluation and investor sentiment over time.

Also critical is how asset revaluation impacts economic stability – or the lack thereof. Subsequent research should concentrate on revaluation's impact during periods of inflated economic growth and asset price bubbles, particularly focusing on the systemic risk associated with extensive revaluation practices.

These types of studies can identify barriers in advance and shape policies in a way that ensures revaluation processes will not give rise to financial bubbles or crises.

Policy gaps still exist in regards to ethically managing assets that have already been revalued. Even though revaluation improves the accuracy of a company's financial disclosures, it also creates opportunities for fraud if safeguards are not put in place. From a corporate governance perspective, there is too much risk of investor fraud on the part of companies that require tighter control, unqualified reporting, and better audit practices. With the continued globalization and sophistication of financial markets, it is equally important that these reregulatory frameworks evolve to address emerging challenges and make sure that ethics and fairness govern revaluation processes.

In brief, asset revaluation is beneficial in improving the reporting of finances of a firm, but the other associated implications need to be scrutinized to confirm that such practices

underpin firm performance, investor trust, and steady economic conditions. Through pursuing further studies, financial specialists as well as market operators and decision-makers would be enlightened about the intended and unintended effects of asset revaluation and design policies that preserve honesty while shielding the financial system from undue harm.

References:

1. Svynous, I., Shepel, T., & Lytvynenko, N. (2019). Methodological approaches to evaluation and revaluation of fixed assets in the context of implementing international accounting standards. *Economics, entrepreneurship, management*, *2*(6), 89-99.
2. Hussain, S., Hoque, M. E., Susanto, P., Watto, W. A., Haque, S., & Mishra, P. (2022). The quality of fair revaluation of fixed assets and additional calculations aimed at facilitating prospective investors' decisions. *Sustainability*, *14*(16), 10334.
3. Bae, J., Lee, J., & Kim, E. (2019). Does fixed asset revaluation build trust between management and investors?. *Sustainability*, *11*(13), 3700.
4. Svynous, I., Shepel, T., & Lytvynenko, N. (2019). Methodological approaches to evaluation and revaluation of fixed assets in the context of implementing international accounting standards. *Economics, entrepreneurship, management*, *2*(6), 89-99.
5. Yoo, C. Y., Choi, T. H., & Pae, J. (2018). Demand for fair value accounting: The case of the asset revaluation boom in Korea during the global financial crisis. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, *45*(1-2), 92-114.
6. Darmawan, A., Sinaga, A. R., & Wibowo, (2020). A. The Relationship of Fixed Asset Revaluation to Perception of Performance: Evaluation of Tax Policy. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia*, *19*(2), 3.
7. Solikhah, B., Hastuti, S., & Budiyono, I. (2019). Fixed assets revaluation to increase value relevance of financial statement. *Journal of critical reviews*, *7*(5), 589-594.
8. Khalil, R., Asad, M., & Khan, S. N. (2018). Management motives behind the revaluation of fixed assets for sustainability of entrepreneurial companies. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship*, *22*(Special), 1-9.
9. Trifan, A. (2018). The Ratio between Revaluation and Adjustment of the Book Value of Tangible Assets. *Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov. Series V: Economic Sciences*, 127-134.
10. Anton, C. E. (2021). Revaluation of the Tangible Assets–Option Accessible To Company Managers. *Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov. Series V: Economic Sciences*, 67-72.
11. Nichita, M. E. (2019). Intangible assets–insights from a literature review. *Journal of Accounting and Management Information Systems*, *18*(2), 224-261.
12. Уманців, Г., Шушакова, І., Міняйло, О., Щербакова, Т., & Хрустальова, В. (2023). Valuation of intangible assets in the context of economic instability in Ukraine. *Financial and credit activity problems of theory and practice*, *3*(50), 102-115.
13. Budrionytė, R., & Gaižauskas, L. (2018). Historical cost vs fair value in forest accounting: the case of Lithuania. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, *6*(1), 60-76.
14. Guerreiro, R., & Amaral, J. V. (2018). Cost-based price and value-based price: are they conflicting approaches?. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, *33*(3), 390-404.

15. Abdullahi, A., & Abubakar, M. Y. (2020). International financial reporting standards (IFRS) and reporting quality in Nigeria: An assessment of selected quoted firms. *International Business and Accounting Research Journal*, *4*(1), 11-22.
16. De Villiers, C., & Sharma, U. (2020). A critical reflection on the future of financial, intellectual capital, sustainability and integrated reporting. *Critical perspectives on accounting*, *70*, 101999.
17. Lev, B. (2018). The deteriorating usefulness of financial report information and how to reverse it. *Accounting and business research*, *48*(5), 465-493.
18. Adams, C. A., & Abhayawansa, S. (2022). Connecting the COVID-19 pandemic, environmental, social and governance (ESG) investing and calls for 'harmonisation'of sustainability reporting. *Critical perspectives on accounting*, *82*, 102309.
19. Rahman, M. T., & Hossain, S. Z. (2022). Impact of Fixed Asset Revaluation Practice on Investor Perception in Bangladesh Stock Market. *International Journal of Economics, Management and Accounting*, *30*(1), 75-99.
20. Alharasis, E. E., Tarawneh, A. S., Shehadeh, M., Haddad, H., Marei, A., & Hasan, E. F. (2022). Reimbursement costs of auditing financial assets measured by fair value model in Jordanian financial firms' annual reports. *Sustainability*, *14*(17), 10620.
21. Yoo, C. Y., Choi, T. H., & Pae, J. (2018). Demand for fair value accounting: The case of the asset revaluation boom in Korea during the global financial crisis. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, *45*(1-2), 92-114.
22. Aluya, S., Aca, A., & John, E. J. (2024). Property, plant and equipment measurement and financial reporting quality of manufacturing firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research & Innovation in Social Science*, *8*(7), 2222-2232.
23. Da Costa, F. M., Liu, C., Rosa, G. C., & Tiras, S. L. (2020). The commitment to income-decreasing accounting choices as a credible signal to reducing information asymmetry: the case of asset revaluations. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, *37*(4), 2501-2522.
24. Dewi, N., Azam, S., & Yusoff, S. O. U. T. H. (2019). Factors influencing the information quality of local government financial statement and financial accountability. *Management Science Letters*, *9*(9), 1373-1384.
25. Chen, C. W., Collins, D. W., Kravet, T. D., & Mergenthaler, R. D. (2018). Financial statement comparability and the efficiency of acquisition decisions. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, *35*(1), 164-202.
26. Weygandt, J. J., Kimmel, P. D., & Kieso, D. E. (2018). *Financial accounting with international financial reporting standards*. John Wiley & Sons.
27. Semieniuk, G., Campiglio, E., Mercure, J. F., Volz, U., & Edwards, N. R. (2021). Low-carbon transition risks for finance. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, *12*(1), e678.
28. Permata, I. S., & Baharuddin, G. (2023). Could Fixed Asset Revaluation Encourage Investment? Study case in Indonesia. *New Challenges in Accounting & Finance (NCAF)*, *10*.
29. Abbas, S. K., Fazal, A., Ali, S. R., & Faisal, N. (2019). Revaluation of fixed assets and future firm performance: Evidence from cement sector of Pakistan. *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*, *16*(5-6), 2233-2236.
30. Solikhah, B., Hastuti, S., & Budiyono, I. (2019). Fixed assets revaluation to increase value relevance of financial statement. *Journal of critical reviews*, *7*(5), 589-594.
31. Maru, Y. (2018). Devaluation, balance of payment and output dynamics in developing countries:(the case of Ethiopia). *Addis Ababa University*.
32. Bischof, J., Brüggemann, U., & Daske, H. (2023). Asset reclassifications and bank recapitalization during the financial crisis. *Management Science*, *69*(1), 75-100.

33. Mattei, M. M., Merlo, M., & Monaco, E. (2023). The Italian depreciation suspension policy during the COVID-19 pandemic: consequences on private firms' borrowing capacity. *Accounting in Europe*, *20*(2), 166-193.
34. Horan, A., Jarmulska, B., & Ryan, E. (2023). Asset prices, collateral and bank lending: the case of Covid-19 and real estate.
35. Šupuković, V., Jakupović, S., & Vujnović-Gligorić, B. (2022). Abuse of Creative Accounting in Practical Application. In *New Approaches to CSR, Sustainability and Accountability, Volume III* (pp. 187-219). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
36. Ma, S., & Zhang, W. (2023). How to improve IFRS for intangible assets? A milestone approach. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, *16*(1), 100289.
37. Laura, M. R. (2022). Informativeness Of Fair Value Adjustments On Investment Properties: Relevance Of Covenant Violation Concerns, Auditor Expertise, And Supplementary Disclosures.